Sarasota County Schools

Sarasota Middle School



2018-19 School Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	3
School Information	4
Needs Assessment	6
Planning for Improvement	10
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	27

Sarasota Middle School

4826 ASHTON RD, Sarasota, FL 34233

www.sarasotacountyschools.net/sarasotamiddle

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (As Reported on Survey 3)
Middle School 6-8	No	32%

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	(Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	22%

School Grades History

Year	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16	2014-15
Grade	Α	Α	Α	A *

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Sarasota County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and

using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement

Sarasota Middle School is a community offering a safe, encouraging environment that celebrates learning and innovation. All learners model and promote integrity, empathy, and responsibility.

Provide the school's vision statement

Sarasota Middle School places learning at the center of its activities to enable all learners to lead productive, responsible, and healthful lives.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title
Breslin, Laurie	Principal
Loos, Stacey	Assistant Principal
Walsh, Kira	Assistant Principal

Duties

Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making

Instructional Leaders: Laurie Breslin- Principal

Stacey Loos- Assistant Principal

Kira Walsh- Assistant Principal

School Curriculum Leaders -

Social Studies: Jen Jaso, Deb Kaiser

Language Arts: Susy Grandusky, Marta Boyle, Michelle West

Math: Alicia Fronzcak, Corrine Bezdek, Mary Ryan

Science: Heather Nestle, Nicole Machenheimer, Teri Reisz

Department Chairs: Corrine Bezdek, Nicole Machenheimer, Jen Jaso, Marta Boyle Team Leaders: Cassandra King, ESE Team; Judi Robson, ESOL: Beth Rogers, Encore's

ESE Liaisons: Jackie Mills and Cassandra King

School Counselors: Jan Marques/Katie Muller/Carmen Larson

The Sarasota Middle School Leadership Team practices shared leadership through partnering with multiple stakeholders to collaborate over and determine the best course of action regarding our school community. Shared decision making opportunities are facilitated during weekly Ad Staff meetings, weekly CAPS meetings, monthly School Leader meetings, and weekly PLCs facilitated by PLC Leads/Curriculum Lead.

Early Warning Systems

Year 2017-18

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	39	66	0	0	0	0	148		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	18	0	0	0	0	26		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	51	32	0	0	0	0	121		

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						G	rad	e Le	evel	l				Tabal
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	17	20	0	0	0	0	55

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Retained Students: Previous Year(s)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	

Date this data was collected

Saturday 9/29/2018

Year 2016-17 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level K 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	71	94	0	0	0	0	218	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	100	79	0	0	0	0	219	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	53	56	0	0	0	0	137	

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						G	rad	e Le	evel	l				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	IOLAI
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	34	23	0	0	0	0	69

Year 2016-17 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level K 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	71	94	0	0	0	0	218		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	100	79	0	0	0	0	219		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	53	56	0	0	0	0	137		

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						G	rad	e Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	34	23	0	0	0	0	69

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

Assessment & Analysis

Consider the following reflection prompts as you examine any/all relevant school data sources, including those in CIMS in the pages that follow.

Which data component performed the lowest? Is this a trend?

In examining the relevant school data sources, one data component performed the lowest. The lowest data component for Sarasota Middle was the lowest quartile learning gains for both ELA and Math. The average middle school score in Florida for ELA L25 learning gains was 46%. We scored a 57%. For math, the average middle school score for Math L25 learning gains was 51%. We scored a 61%. While higher than the state, some of our subgroups scored low in this area and we recognize that we need to close this achievement gap. For example, our students with disabilities demonstrated only 38% ELA learning gains within our lower quartile data.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from prior year?

Data from the 2017-2018 school year showed overall growth except in identified subgroups. The ELA learning gains for our SWD, FRL, and ELL subgroups all declined. Students with disabilities saw a 6 point loss. Free and reduced lunch saw a 2 point loss. ELL students saw an 18 point decline. According to our data, careful monitoring of our subgroups must occur in order to close the achievement gap noted in our areas of greatest decline.

Which data component had the biggest gap when compared to the state average?

When it came to the state average, we performed very well. We exceeded the state average in every category. The closest category to the state average was within the learning gains categories. We exceeded the state by 27 percentage points in ELA Achievement, but only 12 percentage points in ELA learning gains and only 10 in ELA lowest 25th percentile gains. In math we saw similar results. We exceeded the state by 26 percentage points in math achievement, but in math learning gains we only exceeded the state by 16 percentage points. In math lowest 25th percentile, we only exceeded the state by 10 percentage points.

Which data component showed the most improvement? Is this a trend?

While all the data showed positive trends, the component that showed the most improvement was in middle school acceleration. This is a trend as it has been steadily increasing. As we continue to offer acceleration options for our students, this number should continue to grow.

Describe the actions or changes that led to the improvement in this area

In order to improve in middle school acceleration we offered additional opportunities for our students. We offered high school level math courses to students who demonstrated proficiency on the FSA math test. Any student that earned a level 3 or higher on the 7th grade math assessment was accelerated into Algebra 1. Students who demonstrated an ever higher achievement in math on the 6th grade state math assessment were able to take Algebra 1 in grade 7 and then geometry in grade 8.

Additionally, we have increased our CTE offerings. Students can earn the Microsoft bundle on our campus. We have brought in more CTE courses to support students in earning their industry certification. We also have built in added recognition for students who have earned certifications. One example of this is a certificate noting the achievement that is given to the student by the teacher and administration.

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Crade Component		2018		2017			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	80%	63%	53%	75%	62%	52%	
ELA Learning Gains	66%	57%	54%	65%	59%	54%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	57%	48%	47%	52%	47%	44%	
Math Achievement	84%	74%	58%	84%	71%	56%	
Math Learning Gains	73%	67%	57%	73%	66%	57%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	61%	61%	51%	60%	55%	50%	
Science Achievement	77%	62%	52%	76%	59%	50%	
Social Studies Achievement	92%	78%	72%	0%	91%	70%	

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator	Grade Lev	Grade Level (prior year reported)					
indicator	6	7	8	Total			
Attendance below 90 percent	43 (53)	39 (71)	66 (94)	148 (218)			
One or more suspensions	3 (40)	5 (100)	18 (79)	26 (219)			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	38 (28)	51 (53)	32 (56)	121 (137)			

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2018	78%	63%	15%	52%	26%
	2017	77%	65%	12%	52%	25%
Same Grade Comparison		1%				
Cohort Comparison						
07	2018	77%	62%	15%	51%	26%
	2017	74%	64%	10%	52%	22%
Same Grade C	omparison	3%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2018	83%	70%	13%	58%	25%
	2017	72%	65%	7%	55%	17%
Same Grade Comparison		11%				
Cohort Com	parison	9%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2018	79%	66%	13%	52%	27%
	2017	82%	66%	16%	51%	31%
Same Grade C	omparison	-3%				
Cohort Comparison						
07	2018	84%	73%	11%	54%	30%
	2017	72%	54%	18%	53%	19%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	parison	2%				
08	2018	66%	63%	3%	45%	21%
	2017	83%	75%	8%	46%	37%
Same Grade Comparison		-17%				
Cohort Com	parison	-6%				

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2018					
2017	100%	69%	31%	63%	37%
·		CIVIO	CS EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2018	92%	80%	12%	71%	21%
2017	0%	97%	-97%	69%	-69%
	mpare	92%			
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2018					
2017					
•		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2018	98%	77%	21%	62%	36%
2017	100%	71%	29%	60%	40%
Co	mpare	-2%			
		GEOME	TRY EOC	_	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2018	100%	71%	29%	56%	44%
2017	100%	70%	30%	53%	47%
Compare		0%			

Subgroup [Subgroup Data										
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
WHT	82	67	58	85	72	62	78	93	81		
BLK	80			80							
HSP	67	65	50	78	74	57	69	86	82		
ASN	92	57		87	89		80	100			
MUL	84	67	50	86	77		92	92	75		
SWD	28	38	38	37	42	39	27	75			
FRL	65	58	46	75	63	54	67	87	72		
ELL	21	44	52	38	52	50		64			

	2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
WHT	77	66	52	86	73	59	79		57		
BLK	38	33		56	73		50				
HSP	66	58	56	73	64	55	66		40		
ASN	73	65		82	73	50	73				
MUL	79	73		88	87	90	64		69		
SWD	18	44	42	30	49	44	16				
FRL	61	60	58	74	64	55	62		43		
ELL	26	60	62	43	63	71					

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Develop specific plans for addressing the school's highest-priority needs by identifying the most important areas of focus based on any/all relevant school data sources, including the data from Section II (Needs Assessment/Analysis).

Areas of Focus:

Activity #1	
Title	ELA Achievement
Rationale	Ensuring that all students read on grade level is a priority in order to prepare students for success in high school and in post-secondary college and career readiness. According to research, children who fail to acquire adequate reading skills are at risk for increased difficulties such as poor grades, a dislike of school, frustration, low self-esteem, and behavioral problems (WETA, 2018). Students who have met grade level mastery can also increase their achievement to prepare for high level courses in high school and beyond.
Intended Outcome	By the end of the 18-19 school year, SMS FSA ELA scores will improve by a minimum of 2 points, moving from 80% to 82% proficiency.
Point	Laurie Breslin (laurie.breslin@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Action Step

Person

When broken into subgroup data, only 67% of Hispanic students and 65% of students on free/reduced lunch met the proficiency level according to the state assessment. Furthermore, only 28% of students with disabilities scored at a proficient level, and only 21% of ELL students. While every attempt to promote growth for all students will continue, a renewed focus on subgroup data will assist in closing the achievement gap noted in the data.

Overall in 2017-2018, 6th grade students scored 78% on FSA ELA achievement. In comparison, 7th grade students scored at a 77% and 8th grade at an 83%. In order to increase achievement, all grades must average to an 82% achievement score.

- 1. Focus on disciplinary literacy:
- Continued work in PLCs
- Professional development focused on disciplinary literacy
- Purposeful, team scheduling
- Continued time built in for collaboration

Description

- 2. Ongoing standards-based progress monitoring:
- Classroom formative and summative assessments
- iReady data
- Progress monitoring of FSA Level 1 and low 2 students, not only in their reading and Language Arts courses, but across their curriculum
- Use of vocabulary.com
- Use of IXL
- Classroom data walls
- Data driven discussions in PLC groups
- 3. Additional supports for subgroups as identified in the school improvement plan Needs Analysis/School Data Section II
- ESE aides and ESOL aides scheduled to support push-in initiatives
- ESE aides are provided with Professional development to increase impact student achievement
- Alternative to suspension plan to keep students in the classroom
- Incentive programs built to motivate students for making improvements

based off of formative data

- Purposeful scheduling for remediation in intensive language arts classrooms
- 4. Technology Rich Classrooms
- Teachers utilize the innovative technology rich classrooms and implementation of the County Instructional Model we are actively engaging students in critical thinking skills including interpretation, analysis, evaluation, inference, explanation, evidence seeking and reflection around their content. Teachers use the ELA Instructional Focus Guides to guide classroom decisions and use I-Ready results to inform instruction and support student reach proficient levels on the End of Year assessment or FSA.

Person Responsible

Laurie Breslin (laurie.breslin@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

The following will be used to monitor each action step:

- 1. Focus on disciplinary literacy:
- -PLC agendas/minutes
- -PD Plans; agendas; evaluations
- -Scheduling committee implementation to review for effectiveness
- 2. Ongoing standards-based progress monitoring:
- -Analysis of formative and summative assessment data
- -Ongoing analysis of iReady data
- -Ongoing analysis of vocabulary.com and IXL data
- -Observation of classroom data walls
- .. -PLC minutes

Description

- 3. Additional supports for subgroups
- -Meeting notes from SWST, CARE, liaison meetings
- -Evaluation of PD opportunities
- -Ongoing review of discipline data
- -Scheduling committee minutes
- 4. Technology Rich Classrooms
- -Classroom walk throughs
- -Lesson Observations
- -Lesson/Unit Plans
- -Student work

Person Responsible

Laurie Breslin (laurie.breslin@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Activity #2	
Title	ELA Learning Gains
Rationale	Ensuring that all students read on grade level is a priority in order to prepare students for success in high school and in post-secondary college and career readiness. According to research, children who fail to acquire adequate reading skills are at risk for increased difficulties such as poor grades, a dislike of school, frustration, low self-esteem, and behavioral problems (WETA, 2018). All students, whether they have reached a proficient level or not per the state test need to strive for annual growth.
Intended Outcome	By the end of the 18-19 school year, SMS FSA ELA Learning Gains will improve by a minimum of 4 points, moving from 66% to 70% proficiency.
Point	Lauria Braslin (lauria braslin Gaarasatasauntysahaala nat)

Laurie Breslin (laurie.breslin@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Action Step

Person

When broken into subgroup data, only 65% of Hispanic students and 58% of students on free/reduced lunch made a learning gain according to the state assessment. Furthermore, only 38% of students with disabilities made an identified learning gain, and only 44% of ELL students. While every attempt to promote growth for all students will continue, a renewed focus on subgroup data will assist in closing the achievement gap noted in the data.

The following action steps previously detailed in Activity # 1 will guide us in achieving our goal:

Description

- 1. Focus on disciplinary literacy
- 2. Ongoing standards-based progress monitoring
- 3. Additional supports for subgroups as identified in the school improvement plan Needs Analysis/School Data Section II
- 4. Technology Rich Classrooms

Additionally, students requiring increased support will be brought to our weekly School Wide Support Team (SWST). This team includes School Counselors, the behavior specialist, ESE Liaisons, School Social Worker, Truancy Worker, School Psychologist and assistant principals. Types of supports are identified through needs analysis and data monitoring.

Person Responsible

Laurie Breslin (laurie.breslin@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

The plan to monitor effectiveness mirrors the plan outlined in Activity #1.

Description

Additionally, the students requiring Tier 2 or Tier 3 interventions will be monitored through our School Wide Support Team evidenced by meeting agendas and meeting notes.

The school leadership team also reviews data monthly, as well as the principal and the assistance principal in weekly meetings.

Person Responsible

Laurie Breslin (laurie.breslin@sarasotacountyschools.net)

ACTIVITY TO	Act	ivity	<i> </i> #3
-------------	-----	-------	-------------

Title

ELA Lowest Quartile Learning Gains

Ensuring that all students read on grade level is a priority in order to prepare students for success in high school and in post-secondary college and career readiness. According to research, children who fail to acquire adequate reading skills are at risk for increased difficulties such as poor grades, a dislike of school, frustration, low self-esteem, and behavioral problems (WETA, 2018). All students, whether they have reached a proficient level or not per the state test, need to strive for annual growth. Special emphasis will be placed on students who score in the lowest 25% of students.

Intended Outcome

Rationale

By the end of the 18-19 school year, SMS Reading Lowest Quartile Learning Gains will improve by a minimum of 4 points, moving from 57% to 61% proficiency.

Point Person

Laurie Breslin (laurie.breslin@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Action Step

When broken into subgroup data, only 50% of Hispanic students in the lowest quartile and 46% of students on free/reduced lunch in the lowest quartile made a learning gain according to the state assessment. Furthermore, only 38% of students with disabilities in the lowest quartile made an identified learning gain, and only 52% of ELL students in the lowest quartile. While every attempt to promote growth for all students will continue, a renewed focus on subgroup data will assist in closing the achievement gap noted in the data.

The following action steps previously detailed in Activity # 1 will guide us in achieving our goal:

Description

- 1. Focus on disciplinary literacy
- 2. Ongoing standards-based progress monitoring
- 3. Additional supports for subgroups as identified in the school improvement plan Needs Analysis/School Data Section II
- 4. Technology Rich Classrooms

Additionally, lowest quartile students of concerns, those needing Tier 2 or Tier 3 services, will be brought to our weekly School Wide Support Team (SWST). Students will also be closely monitored and supported in their intensive language arts course which meets daily. The ILA teachers work closely and meet regularly with the students' identified language arts teachers for continued support. Panther Pals mentoring program to help support students who are not showing growth as evidenced by formative assessment data

Person Responsible

Laurie Breslin (laurie.breslin@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

The plan to monitor effectiveness mirrors the plan outlined in Activity #1.

Description

Additionally, the students requiring Tier 2 or Tier 3 interventions will be monitored through our School Wide Support Team evidenced by meeting agendas and meeting notes.

Lower quartile students also have an ILA course as another layer of support. These students will be monitored for growth by their certified reading teacher.

Data will be reviewed monthly by the school leadership team and weekly by the principal and assistance principals. This is evidenced by agendas and meeting action plans.

Person Responsible

Laurie Breslin (laurie.breslin@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Activity #4	
Title	Mathematics Achievement
Rationale	Sarasota Middle School teachers and staff understand the importance of all students preforming at or above grade level on the yearly math standards. Data is analyzed and prioritized to identify areas of need per student to support their learning. SMS teachers are not only supporting the grade level standards, but to ensure that our students are high school, college and career ready.
Intended Outcome	By the end of the 18-19 school year, SMS FSA math scores will improve by a minimum of 2 points, moving from 84% to 86% proficiency.
Point Person	Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)
Action Ston	

Action Step

- To meet the annual goal of a 2% increase of proficiency level, teachers will engage in exploring last year's FSA data to identify their performance and create individual and grade level action plans to reach this goal.

6th Grade: By the end of the 18-19 school year, SMS 6th grade FSA Math scores will improve by a minimum of 2 points, moving from 79% to 81% proficiency.

7th Grade: By the end of the 18-19 school year, SMS 7th grade FSA Math scores will improve by a minimum of 2 points, moving from 84% to 86% proficiency.

8th Grade: By the end of the 18-19 school year, 8th grade SMS 8th grade FSA Math scores will improve by a minimum of 2 points, moving from 66% to 68% proficiency.

Description

When broken into subgroup data, 78% of Hispanic students showed proficiency on the end of year mathematics FSA. Furthermore, only 37% of students with disabilities demonstrated proficiency, and only 18% of ELL students demonstrated proficiency. While every attempt to promote growth for all students will continue, a renewed focus on subgroup data will assist in closing the achievement gap noted in the data.

1. Focused Professional Development

- Sarasota Middle School Math teachers engage in Professional Development that focuses on the Math Florida Standards, rigor of the state standards, the high level of demands of the end of Year Assessments and Florida Standards Assessment. Teachers utilize this knowledge to unpack standards and develop quality questions that match the standard Depth of Knowledge, and design activities and assessments that support all Sarasota Middle School math students master the yearly state standards. In addition, ESE aides are provided with professional development to increase impact on math student achievement.

2. Technology Rich Classrooms

- Teachers utilize the innovative technology rich classrooms and implementation of the County Instructional Model we are actively engaging

students in critical thinking skills including interpretation, analysis, evaluation, inference, explanation, evidence seeking and reflection around their content. Teachers use the Math Instructional Focus Guides to guide classroom decisions and use I-Ready results to inform instruction and support student reach proficient levels on the End of Year assessment or FSA.

3. Formative Assessments

- In addition to their own common assessment and formative classroom assessment data, instructional staff utilizes FSA data, iReady diagnostic, I-Ready standards-based formative and summative assessments, district created Benchmark testing data, and school wide math fluency results to identify differentiation needs of students. The lesson planning process, by intent, provides teachers ongoing opportunities to provide identified differentiated instructional needs to individual and small groups of students within the classroom on a daily basis.
- 4. Collaborative Planning/Professional Learning Communities
- SMS teachers engage in weekly PLC opportunities facilitated by a School Leader. During these sessions, teachers collaborate on mathematical best practice, grade level student data and differentiated lesson planning to meet the needs of all learners. Teachers will also collaborate with ESE and ELL personnel to ensure student success.
- 5. High Level Resources
- Sarasota Middle School uses a variety of instructional resources to meet the demands of the Math Florida Standards. These resources include Big Ideas textbooks, vetted Curriculum Associates materials (Toolbox, online instruction, Standards Mastery Assessments, Tools for Instruction, Diagnostic), and the IXL learning platform. Teachers purposefully incorporate these resources to support students in being proficient of grade level standards.

Person Responsible

Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

The following action steps will guide us in achieving our goal:

- 1. Focused Professional Development
- 2. Technology Rich Classrooms
- 3. Formative Assessments
- 4. Collaborative Planning/Professional Learning Communities
- 5. High Level Resources

Description

To monitor our action steps we will incorporate the following:

Professional Development sign in sheets

Review of lesson plans

PLC minutes

Data Chats using iReady and benchmark data

Mid Year meetings with math teams

Observation notes

ESE and ELL supports monitored

Person Responsible

Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Activity #5	
Title	Mathematics Learning Gains
Rationale	Sarasota Middle School teachers and staff understand the importance of student learning and the growth model. Teachers are committed to ensuring that all math students demonstrate growth every year in order to be career and college ready.
Intended Outcome	By the end of the 18-19 school year, SMS Math Learning Gains will improve by a minimum of 2 points, moving from 73% to 75% proficiency.
Point Person	Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)
Action Step	

When broken into subgroup data, 74% of Hispanic students made a learning gain according to the state assessment. Furthermore, only 42% of students with disabilities made an identified learning gain, and 38% of ELL students. While every attempt to promote growth for all students will continue, a renewed focus on subgroup data will assist in closing the achievement gap noted in the data.

The following action steps will guide us in achieving our goal:

- 1. Focused Professional Development
- 2. Technology Rich Classrooms
- 3. Formative Assessments
- 4. Collaborative Planning/Professional Learning Communities
- 5. High Level Resources

Additionally, the following steps will be taken:

Description

- Classroom teachers will be provided admin support to identify their current FSA level per class.
- SMS will provide professional development opportunities focusing on FSA levels, buckets and school grade calculations. During this school-based training, teachers will unpack results of students assessments, collaborate over supports to move students to their student to reach their yearly growth goal. Teachers will also develop an action plan to drive their teacher moves.
- SMS students will be provided the individual support they need to ensure their yearly growth. These supports include: Critical Thinking classes, scheduled and fluid and flexible Math Lab opportunities, before school tutoring, interventions, Panther Math, etc.
- Lowest quartile students of concerns, those needing Tier 2 or Tier 3 services, will be brought to our weekly School Wide Support Team (SWST). This team includes School Counselors, the behavior specialist, ESE Liaisons, School Social Worker, Truancy Worker, School Psychologist and SMS Admin- for supports, needs analysis, data monitoring, and possible needs identification and programming of services.

Person Responsible

Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

The following tools will be used to assist in monitoring effectiveness:

Description

Professional Development sign in sheets

Review of lesson plans
Admin/teacher data chats using iReady and benchmark tests
PLC minutes
Math lab sign in sheets
Observations
SWST notes
Action plan review
IXL data

Person Responsible

Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Activity #6		
Title	Mathematics Lowest Quartile Learning Gains	
Rationale	When SMS, as a school community, works together to develop student efficacy and collective teacher efficacy regarding the abilities of our neediest of students, we will be able to maximize student achievement. SMS lowest quartile students have the ability to and, with appropriate supports, will make learning gains and move towards proficiency, as evidenced by the 18-19 FSA.	
Intended Outcome	By the end of the 18-19 school year, SMS Math Lowest Quartile Learning Gains will improve by a minimum of 4 points, moving from 61% to 65% proficiency.	
Point Person	Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)	
Action Step		

When broken into subgroup data, only 57% of Hispanic students in the lowest quartile in the lowest quartile made a learning gain according to the state assessment. Furthermore, 39% of students with disabilities in the lowest quartile made an identified learning gain, and 42% of ELL students in the lowest quartile. While every attempt to promote growth for all students will continue, a renewed focus on subgroup data will assist in closing the achievement gap noted in the data.

The following action steps will guide us in achieving our goal:

- 1. Focused Professional Development
- 2. Technology Rich Classrooms
- 3. Formative Assessments
- 4. Collaborative Planning/Professional Learning Communities
- 5. High Level Resources
- Classroom teachers will be provided admin support to identify their lowest quartile students per class.

Description

- Provide professional development focusing on lowest quartile students, subgroups, FSA levels, buckets and school grade calculations. During this school-based training, teachers will identify lowest quartile students that they will support moving a bucket and growth towards proficiency. Teachers will also develop an action plan, focusing on these LQ students, to drive their teacher moves.
- Lowest Quartile data wall to be displaying, discussed and interacted with during office PLCs and data chats. The data wall will include subgroup data (ESE and ELL), FSA levels, DSS scores, bubbles, if they are working directly with a Panther Pal Mentor, and i-Ready Diagnostic data to help drive instructional decisions.
- -Lowest Quartile students will be supported with creative master scheduling of math supports during the school day. This is to include Critical Thinking, Math Labs, before school tutoring, interventions, Panther Math, etc.
- LQ ESE students will be placed in the least restrictive environment to support their instructional and social needs.
- LQ ELL students will be placed with appropriate supports, interventions and additional classroom instructional assistance as needed.
- Lowest quartile students of concerns, those needing Tier 2 or Tier 3 services, will be brought to our weekly School Wide Support Team (SWST). This team includes School Counselors, the behavior specialist, ESE Liaisons, School

Social Worker, Truancy Worker, School Psychologist and SMS Admin- for supports, needs analysis, data monitoring, and possible needs identification and programming of services.

Person Responsible

Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

The following action steps will guide us in achieving our goal:

- 1. Focused Professional Development
- 2. Technology Rich Classrooms
- 3. Formative Assessments
- 4. Collaborative Planning/Professional Learning Communities
- 5. High Level Resources

The following will be used to monitor effectiveness:

Professional Development Sign in sheets

Teacher created Action Plans

Description

PLC Notes

Lesson plans

SWST Notes

Morning Math Sign in sheets

Math Lab Sign in sheets

Critical Thinking monitoring

Data chat sign in sheets and notes using iReady and benchmark tests

Admin data meeting to monitor progress specifically of lower quartile students

Panther Pals mentoring program to help support students who are not

showing growth as evidenced by formative assessment data

Person Responsible

Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Activity #7	
Title	School Culture and Climate
Rationale	Ensuring a safe, healthy and supportive school environment is the foundation to student success. Students who are provided with positive behavior supports decrease office disciplinary referrals, out of school suspensions and promote overall positive school climate. Sarasota Middle School uses a proactive approach to support students behaviorally and limit out of school suspensions. This approach supports students success by increasing students daily attendance in order to maximize student academic and social achievement.
Intended Outcome	By the end of the end of the 18-19 school year, the SMS Suspension Rate will be reduced from 21% to 16% of total discipline referrals.
Point Person	Laurie Breslin (laurie.breslin@sarasotacountyschools.net)
Action Step	

Sarasota Middle School believes in taking proactive approach in supporting all students behavioral success. A safe, healthy and supportive environment is priority to support students

When broken into subgroup data, females represented 23% of out of school suspensions, while 77% of suspensions were males. In addition, 18% of total out of school suspensions were assigned for our Hispanic population. While every attempt to use positive behavior supports are used to decrease referrals and out of school suspensions, SMS will continue efforts to close the gap between subgroup data and lower our overall suspension rates.

The following action steps will guide us in achieving our goal:

- 1. Focus on positive behavior supports
- Sarasota Middle School holds monthly PSIS meeting. These meetings include Admin, teachers, staff, student and family representation.
- Staff and students engage in using Panther Paws as an incentive for positive behavior. SMS holds monthly Panther Paw Days where students use their buck to purchase incentives. These incentives are based on teacher and student feedback.
- SMS staff embrace the tenets of the Civility Squad. Resources are shared to positively impact student behavior,
- SMS partners with Riverview High School to host bi-weekly Men's Mentoring Group to offer positive and proactive supports to our male students.
- SMS identifies and supports high risk students with Panther Pals, assigned mentors to monitor grades, attendance and behavior data. These mentors take special interest in these students and build lasting and impressionable relationships.
- Sarasota Middle School Admin, counselors, SRO, liaisons, and support staff set and reteach high expectations during quarterly (minimum) Principal's Forums with grade level groups.
- SMS embraces the progressive discipline philosophy. Layers of supports are offered to deter and decrease referrals and suspensions. Sarasota Middle School offers Alternative to Suspension opportunities to students who are in need. Students are provided instruction in alternative settings.

Description

- 2. Ongoing discipline data progress monitoring
- The PBIS team collects and complies school wide discipline data to be analysed and shares with staff on an ongoing basis. This discipline data includes overall data and subgroup data. Trends are observed, reviewed and interventions are planned and implemented.
- Panther Pals (mentors) hold individual data chats with students based on academics, attendance and behavior/discipline.

Person Responsible

Stacey Loos (stacey.loos@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

The following action steps will guide us in achieving our goal:

- 1. Focus on proactive, positive behavior supports
- 2. Ongoing discipline data progress monitoring

To monitor the effectiveness of the identified action steps:

-PSIS Meeting notes/minutes/agendas

Description

-Student surveys regarding the use of Panther Paws as an incentive and Civility Squad

- -Teacher surveys
- -Mentor and student feedback on the Panther Pals program
- -Weekly counselor meeting notes and agendas
- -Discipline data
- -Data chat feedback

Person Responsible

Stacey Loos (stacey.loos@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Activity #8		
Title	Social Studies Achievement	
Rationale	Sarasota Middle School teachers understand the importance of student knowledge of the US democracy and Constitution in order to support our students in being productive US citizens. Teachers will take this understanding and use it to support student success of the 8th grade Civics Assessment.	
Intended Outcome	By the end of the $18-19$ school year, the Civics EOC results will maintain a 92% proficiency rate, or demonstrate an increase of 1 or more point.	
Point Person	Stacey Loos (stacey.loos@sarasotacountyschools.net)	
Action Step		

When broken into subgroup data, 86% of Hispanic students met the proficiency level according to the 17-18 state assessment. Furthermore, 75% of students with disabilities scored at a proficient level, and only 44% of ELL students scored at a proficient level on the Civics end of course exam. SMS will utilize this subgroup data to focus attention and support to help all students move towards proficiency on the Civics Assessments.

The following action steps will guide us in achieving our goal:

- 1. Focus on disciplinary literacy:
- Continued work in PLCs

Description

- Professional development focused on disciplinary literacy, including district provided and school-based opportunities.
- Vertical planning
- Planning opportunities across programs (ATA and PGA)
- Continued time built in for collaboration
- 2. Ongoing standards-based progress monitoring:
- Classroom formative and summative assessments
- Benchmark Assessment Data Chats
- iReady data (ELA)
- Progress monitoring of FSA Level 1 and low 2 students across curriculums
- Use of vocabulary.com

Person Responsible

Stacey Loos (stacey.loos@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

The following action steps will guide us in achieving our goal:

- 1. Focus on disciplinary literacy
- 2. Ongoing standards-based progress monitoring

To monitor the effectiveness of these action steps, the following will be used:

Description

- -PLC meeting notes and agendas
- -PD sign in sheets and evaluations
- -Collaboration and planning meeting notes
- -Formative assessment data
- -Summative assessment data
- -Classroom observations
- -Lesson Plans

- -iReady data
- -vocabulary.com data

Person Responsible

Stacey Loos (stacey.loos@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Activity #9	
Title	Science Achievement
Rationale	Students in the state of Florida are assessed on their understanding of the science standards and curriculum in grade 5, grade 8, and grade 10. Sarasota Middle School teachers understand the importance of supporting all students reach proficiency on the 8th grade science standards in order to be high school, career (STEM) and college ready.
Intended Outcome	By the end of the 18-19 school year, the Science 8th gr NGSSS will improve by a minimum of 2 points, moving from a 77% to a 79% proficiency rate.
Point Person	Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)
Action Step	

When broken into subgroup data, 69% of Hispanic of 17-18 science students met the proficiency level according to the state science assessment. Furthermore, only 27% of students with disabilities scored at a proficient level, and only 20% of ELL students. While every attempt to promote growth for all students will continue, a renewed focus on subgroup data will assist in closing the achievement gap noted in the data.

The following action steps will guide us in achieving our goal:

- 1. Focus on engaging students in 21st Century technology in the science classroom.
- Utilize district provided Discovery Techbook to engage learners
- Professional development focused on integration of Techbook into SMS classrooms
- Purposeful, PLC time to collaborate with other science content teachers
- Focused lesson Plans
- PLC Agendas

- **Description** 2. Ensure mastery of 6-8 grade standards using a spiraled curriculum review
 - -Teachers will utilize the SCSB IFG and the FLDOE Science Content Focus resources to prioritize and make connections in grade level content (Nature of Science, Earth Space, Physical Science and Life Science)
 - Mini lesson and Assessment result review to identify areas of need to differentiate instruction
 - 3. Ongoing standards-based progress monitoring:
 - Classroom formative and summative assessments
 - Common Summative Unit Assessment Data Chats
 - Use of vocabulary.com for tier 3 content specific vocabulary, Use of IXL, and quizlett
 - Data driven discussions in PLC groups
 - 4. Additional supports for subgroups as identified in the school improvement plan Needs Analysis/School Data Section II
 - Alternative to suspension plan to keep students in the classroom
 - ESE aides are provided with Professional development to increase impact student achievement

- Incentive programs built to motivate students for making improvements based off of formative data

Person Responsible

Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness

The following action steps will guide us in achieving our goal:

- 1. Focus on engaging students in 21st Century technology in the science classroom.
- 2. Ensure mastery of 6-8 grade standards using a spiraled curriculum review.
- 3. Ongoing standards-based progress monitoring
- 3. Additional supports for subgroups as identified in the school improvement plan Needs Analysis/School Data Section II

Description

The following will be used to monitor the effectiveness of these action steps:

- -PD sign in sheets and evaluations
- -PLC notes, minutes, and agendas
- -Lesson plans
- -Revised IFG and Resources
- -Assessment results
- -Common Summative Unit Assessment Data Chat Notes
- -Vocabulary.com data
- -Student surveys

Person Responsible

Kira Walsh (kira.walsh@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Part V: Budget		
Total:	\$0.00	